

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_125142
Title of the Manuscript:	EMERGENCE OF AFRICAN TRADITIONAL MEDICINE: UNDERSTANDING THE LEARNING PROCESS AND PEDAGOGIC IMPLICATIONS FOR OKU LEARNERS OF THE NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a very nice and useful manuscript & study for the scientific community including the different educational communities for a good insight of learning behaviours of adolescents. The backbone of the study, indigenous practices with traditional medicines is an important aspect the authors have highlighted in this study. The elaborations with proper literature justifications are again a very guiding issue in this manuscript for many scientific researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ye , the title of the study is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections & the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript reflects the appropriate research protocol followed in the study. The study design is well chosen and planned. Both the Qualitative as well as Quantitative study designs are very well supported with the justifications as to why the study designs are applied. Again the use of concurrent triangulation design is very much suggestive of the proper applications of study design. The core component, research instrument is the key to the methods followed. Research instrument is designed & validated with correct and appropriate process. All the facts are suggestive of the robust soundness of the manuscript. <i>The point that is not stated in the Methods is about the selection of the study subjects. How the study subjects were selected.</i>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, the references are sufficient & recent. Manuscript shows that the references are judiciously used in the study & placed in the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Warkar
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Government Medical College, India