

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125766
Title of the Manuscript:	STATUS OF DIFFERENT NON WOOD FOREST PRODUCTS IN CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study concerns the socio-economic analyses of non-wood forest products considering the improvement of market connections context, in Chhattisgarh, India. A mix of qualitative and quantitative method was applied, complemented with an interview survey with stakeholders. The study presents critical aspects namely concerning harvesting, lack of value addition or poor market access. A first data collection of non-forests products was made, followed by the presentation of tables and graphics, however a deep discussion of the collected data must be done and after an item, concerning the main conclusions must be done, in order to note the relevance of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>ok</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is too long. It must be more concise.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The organization of the text present clearly headings of several orders with numbers. In order to present a better scientific text. Some tables can be better organized considering an adequate adjustment of the columns width. Discussion and conclusion items must be added.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research is adequate but the conclusions must be better performed. The collected data are sufficient to support a deep analyses and present important remarks useful for the community. The non-forest products that were quantified are sufficient, as well the fruits of the forest. With the data collected a better deep analyses must be performed.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The text must be improved accordingly to the comments made.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alcínia Zita Sampaio
Department, University & Country	University of Lisbon, Portugal