

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_126201
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Selection of Potential Starters of Bacillus Species Involved in the Fermentation of Néré Seeds (<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>) in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author carried the research work systematically and employed conventional and modern methods. The study promotes that fermented food of <i>Parkia biglobosa</i> also known as <i>Soumbara</i> produces extracellular enzymes, minerals, calcium, vitamin-B and nutrients in presence of <i>Bacillus sp.</i> Those essential contents are very useful to human body to fight against pathogens and boost immune system and also for other cellular functions. Furthermore, the study demonstrates a reduction in antinutritional variables, which is a positive outcome. And also have medical benefits of blood pressure, ulcers, diarrhoea etc.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is Appropriate.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author clearly specified the approach, which was suitable for the study issue; additionally, the data analysis was statistically confirmed ($p < 0.05$) and presented effectively. Finally, the document incorporates a thorough evaluation of pertinent literature, illustrating how the study expands upon current knowledge and tackles any deficiencies.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Same numbering gave to the Microbiological analysis of <i>soumbara</i> seeds and study of the technological properties of <i>Bacillus sp.</i> involved in <i>soumbara</i> fermentation (2.2.2) 2) Volume number was not mentioned in reference no. 4, 26, 28 and 32 3) Better to mention process of biochemical tests of Gram coloration, catalase and sporulation test 4) Significant value $P < 0.05$ should be italics "<i>p</i> < .05" where ever they mentioned. <p>No ethical issues No plagiarism was observed The author does not mention competing interest in the manuscript</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Kumar Dakuri
Department, University & Country	St. Ann's College of Pharmacy, India