

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_125626
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving The Rheological Properties Of Water-Based Drilling Muds Using Waste Glass Powder
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is of interest since using and adding waste materials to drilling mud is considered one of the most important titles in petroleum industry papers. And it is clear the paper is well-written and presents many valuable novelties and results,	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Better to be widen to cover all parts and steps performed in the paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Totally appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important for the oil industry to be a partner in solving environmental pollution problems because it is always referred to as a main source of pollution. The manuscript is written in appropriate scientific language capable to deliver the correct meaning through using precise and clear terminology. The ideas, method of work, and results are arranged in a sequential and convincing way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used in manuscript seem to be sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors used clear and suitable language for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najeh Alali
Department, University & Country	Al-Ayen Iraqi University, Iraq