

# Comparative Study of the Nutritive and Elemental Composition of Smoked and Raw *Clarias gariepinus* from Three Selected Fish Farms in Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria

---

## ABSTRACT

*Clarias gariepinus* is of economic importance in aquaculture due to its rapid growth, omnivorous diet, and potential as food source. It has been widely cultured and dispensed both in raw and smoked forms in various regions to meet the demand for fish protein. This study assessed and compared the nutritional quality and elemental composition of smoked and raw samples of *Clarias gariepinus*. A total of twelve fish samples were analysed, six raw samples and six smoked samples with two fishes sampled from each farm tagged A, B and C. The Proximate and elemental analysis were done using standard procedures. The result of the study showed that moisture content measured in the raw *Clarias gariepinus* which ranged between  $(73.074 \pm 1.59) \%$  and  $(71.52 \pm 1.83) \%$  was higher than that of the smoked fish ranging from  $(26.69 \pm 0.03) \%$  to  $(32.21 \pm 0.02) \%$ . The protein content of smoked fish ranged  $(43.2 \pm 0.02) \%$  to  $(46.78 \pm 0.01) \%$  while that of raw fish was between  $(20.52 \pm 1.12) \%$  and  $(22.15 \pm 0.43) \%$ . Similarly, the crude fat, ash, carbohydrate, and fibre content were higher in the smoked fish than raw catfish samples. The elemental composition of both smoked and raw catfish were within the permissible limit of World Health Organization. Arsenic was not detected in the muscle of the raw fish, while cadmium, chromium, lead and magnesium were present in values within WHO permissible limit and similar results was observed for the smoked fish samples. The result of this study presents the possibility of smoked catfish being more nutritious than the raw samples aside from the fact that it has more shelf life than raw fish. Also, both smoked and raw samples of the fish are safe as the values of heavy metal observed were all within the permissible limit of WHO.

*Keywords: Proximate; catfish; metals; raw; smoked.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture is currently gaining worldwide attention due to its significant role in global production of food as well as the need for protein rich diets coupled with the inability of the wild reserves to meet the consumption demand of

the populace [1]. In Nigeria, as well as in other developing nations, aquaculture has risen to prominence as a strategy for tackling challenges related to food security, augmenting livelihoods, and fostering economic advancement [2]. Hence there are increasing number of fish farms across the country striving to meet the ever-increasing

---

demand of fish protein and also to create employment opportunities thus contributing to the nation's economic growth. The choice of *Clariasgariepinus* by most fish farmers could be attributed to its high fecundity, resistance to disease, fast growth rate among its other features. Additionally, catfish is a commonly consumed fish species in Nigeria both in freshly cooked and smoked forms due to its unique taste. The African Catfish *Clariasgariepinus* distributed widely in Africa ranging from the Nile River Basin to West Africa and Algeria in the North [3]. They inhabit freshwater lakes, rivers, swamps and lagoons as well as man-made habitats [4]. Studies have shown that, the African Catfish is also generally considered to be one of the most successful fish species in tropical aquaculture [4]. Kwak *et al.* [5] showed that the ecology of *C. gariepinus* relatively well studied in some African Lakes including Lakes Victoria and Baringo in Kenya. However, nothing is known about the species in Lake Naivasha apart from recent studies on its length-weight relationships and condition factor in the lake [6]. Proximate evaluations on fish samples have shown that diverse nutrients in fish make it an important nutrient source available easily across the globe. Fish is proven to possess several health benefits, such as anti-oxidation, anti-inflammation, wound healing, neuroprotection, cardioprotection, and hepatoprotection properties [7]. Fish consumption plays a crucial role in the human diet, providing essential nutrients like protein, omega-3 fatty acids, and vitamins [8]. Fish proteins, such as immunoglobins, act as defense agents against viral and bacterial infections and prevent protein-calorie malnutrition [7].

Elemental analysis has also proven that fishes, beside its healthy contribution can contain certain elements which may not be beneficial to consumer [9]. Hashim *et al.* [10] revealed that the presence of certain heavy metals in fish raises significant concerns due to their potential adverse effects on human health. Numerous studies have shown that heavy metals, including lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), and arsenic (As), can accumulate in fish tissues through various sources such as water pollution, industrial activities, and agricultural runoff [10]. When consumed, these metals can pose severe health risks, especially when ingested over extended periods or in high concentrations [11]. In natural water bodies and ponds alike, natural causes and human activities contributes to condition of aquatic habitats. Okwodu *et al.* [12] conducted research to study the influence of

human and industrial activities on the Orashi River and two bony fish (*Clariasgariepinus* and *Tilapia niloticus*), heavy metals such as Copper, Iron and Zinc were found to be present and Zinc was above permissible levels. Ado Ekiti, the capital of Ekiti State, also relies on fish as a dietary staple, making it crucial to comprehend the nutritional composition and presence of heavy metals in commonly consumed fish samples within the area. This study is vital for evaluating its potential advantages and health risks, thereby facilitating the implementation of suitable mitigation strategies.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

The study was carried out in Ado Local Government Area in Ado-Ekiti, which is the capital and major centre of economic activities in Ekiti State. Ado Ekiti is a town located in Ekiti, south west Nigeria. Both raw and smoked samples of catfish were collected randomly from three different fish farms tagged A, B, C.

### 2.2 Proximate Analysis

**Moisture content:** 2.0g of the sample(s) were placed in an oven maintained at 100 - 103°C for 16 hours with the weight of the wet sample and the weight after drying noted. The drying was repeated until a constant weight was obtained. The moisture content was expressed in terms of loss in weight of the wet sample.

**Ash content:** 2.0g of each of the oven-dried samples in powder form were accurately weighed and placed in crucible of known weight. These were ignited in a muffle furnace and ashed for 8 hours at 550°C. The crucible containing the ash was then removed, cooled in a dessicator and weighed and the ash content expressed in term of the oven-dried weight of the sample.

**Protein:** The protein nitrogen in 1g of the dried samples were converted to ammonium sulphate by digestion with concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  and in the presence of  $CuSO_4$  and  $Na_2SO_4$ . These were heated and the ammonia evolved was steam distilled into boric acid solution. The nitrogen from ammonia was deduced from the titration of the trapped ammonia with 0.1M HCl with Tashirus indicator (double indicator) until a purplish pink color was obtained. Crude protein

was calculated by multiplying the value of the deduced nitrogen by the factor 6.25mg.

**Crude fibre:** 2.0g of each sample was weighed into separate beakers, the samples were then extracted with petroleum ether by stirring, settling and decanting 3 times. The samples were then air dried and transferred into a dried 100ml conical flask. 200cm<sup>3</sup> of 0.127M sulphuric acid solution was added at room temperature to the samples. The first 40cm<sup>3</sup> of the acid was used to disperse the sample. This was heated gently to boiling point and boiled for 30 minutes. The contents were filtered to remove insoluble materials, which was then washed with distilled water, then with 1% HCl, next with twice ethanol and finally with diethyl ether. Finally, the oven-dried residue was ignited in a furnace at 550°C. The fibre contents were measured by the weight left after ignition and were expressed in term of the weight of the sample before ignition.

**Lipid content:** The lipid content was determined by extracting the fat from 10g of the samples using petroleum ether in a soxhlet apparatus. The weight of the lipid obtained after evaporating off the petroleum ether from the extract gave the weight of the crude fat in the sample.

**Carbohydrate:** The carbohydrate content of the samples was determined as the difference obtained after subtracting the values of protein, lipid, ash and fibre from the total dry matter.

### 2.3 Heavy Metal Analysis

**Sample digestion:** Each sample was homogenized separately and oven dried at 45 °C -50 °C prior to digestion. One gram of each sample was measured and placed in a muffle furnace at 450°C-550°C until all carbon contents is removed as evidence by a white ash. Each sample obtained was digested by adding 5ml of 2 MHCL to the ash in the crucible and heated to dryness on a heating mantle. 5ml of 2 MHCL was added again, heated to boil and filtered through what man No. 1 filter paper into a 100ml volumetric flask and was made up with distilled water

**Determination of heavy metals:** The ash of each sample obtained was digested by adding 5ml of 2 MHCL to the ash in the crucible and heated to dryness on a heating mantle. 5ml of 2 MHCL was added again, heated to boil and filtered through what man No. 1 filter paper into a 100ml volumetric flask and was made up with distilled water. These diluents were aspirated into the Buck 211 Atomic Absorption

Spectrophotometer (AAS) through the suction tube. Each of the trace mineral elements was read at their respective wavelengths with their respective hollow cathode lamps using appropriate fuel and oxidant combination.

### 3.4 Statistical Analysis

The means and the standard deviations were calculated and recorded using Microsoft excel 2013 version.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Proximate Analysis of *Clariasgariepinus*

**Moisture content:** The moisture content of all the smoked fish samples as presented in Table 1 ranged from (26.69±0.03) % to (32.21± 0.02) % while the raw fish had the moisture content ranging between (73.074 ± 1.59) % and (71.52 ± 1.83) % as presented in Table 1

**Ash content:** The ash content of smoked *Clariasgariepinus* ranged from (3.08±0.01) % to (3.73±0.01) % as presented in Table 1 while ash content of raw *Clariasgariepinus* from the three locations ranged between (1.186 ± 0.04) % and (1.764 ± 0.42) % as shown in Table 1

**Fat content:** The fat content of smoked ranged from (11.44±0.01) % to (11.53±0.02) % in all the fish sampled as represented in Table 1 while fat content of raw *Clariasgariepinus* from the three locations ranges between (2.335± 0.26) % and (2.879 ± 0.23) % as presented in Table 1

**Fibre content:** The fibre content of smoked catfish ranged from (2.26 ±0.01) % to (2.50±0.01) % in all the fish samples as presented in the Table 1. while the fibre content of raw *Clariasgariepinus* was between 0.00 and (0.068 ± 0.04) %.

**Protein content:** The protein content of smoked fish samples ranged from (43.2±0.02) % to (46.78±0.01) % in all the fish samples as presented in the Table 1. sample A has the highest protein content and sample B has the lowest protein content. The protein content of raw *Clariasgariepinus* from location A to C is presented in Table 1. The protein content from the three location ranges from (20.518 ± 1.12) % to (22.152 ± 0.43) %. Fish samples from location C (22.152 ± 0.43) % contain the highest protein

composition while the protein content is least in in samples from location A ( $20.518 \pm 1.12$ ) %.

**Carbohydrate content:** The Carbohydrate content for smoked fish ranged from ( $4.12 \pm 0.02$ ) % to ( $8.04 \pm 0.01$ ) % in all the fish samples as presented in the Table 1. sample B has the highest Carbohydrate content and sample C has the lowest Carbohydrate content. there was a significant difference in A, B and C. The carbohydrate content of raw *Clarias gariepinus* for the three locations are presented in Table 1. The carbohydrate content from the three locations ranges from ( $1.917 \pm 0.66$ ) % to ( $2.067 \pm 0.63$ ) %. Samples from location C contain higher carbohydrate composition ( $2.067 \pm 0.63$ ) % while sample from location A contain the least ( $1.917 \pm 0.66$ ) %.

### 3.2 Heavy Metal Analysis of *Clarias gariepinus*

**Arsenic (As):** Arsenic was not detected in the muscle of the raw samples as presented in Table 2. while in the smoked samples, A had values higher than the recommended limit. However, the liver and gills of the raw samples presented 0.08 and 0.01ppm respectively.

Smoked muscle samples B and C presented values that were below the permissible limit of WHO as presented in Table 2 while the raw liver and gills for samples B and C presented values within the permissible limit except for gills of sample B which showed 0.06ppm.

**Cadmium (Cd):** The value of cadmium measured in smoked sample B was ( $0.01 \pm 0.00$ ) ppm but not detected in samples A and C as presented in Table 2. while the value of cadmium measured for raw samples was between 0.00 to 0.08 parts per million as presented in Table 2. The Table 2 showed that gill had the highest value of cadmium compared to the liver and muscles.

**Chromium (Cr):** The value of chromium measured in the smoked fish sample presented in Table 2 it ranged from ( $0.03 \pm 0.00$ ) ppm to ( $0.09 \pm 0.00$ ) ppm. Samples A and B had values higher than the permissible limit while C was lower while the concentration of chromium in raw catfish samples from the different locations ranged from ( $0.00 \pm 0.00$ ) to ( $0.05 \pm 0.07$ ) ppm. The raw muscle of sample B presented values which is above the permissible limit as presented in Table 2

**Table 1. Proximate Composition of Raw and Smoked *Clariasgariepinus***

Vendors	Fish form	Moisture	Ash	Fat	CHO	Protein	Fibre
A	Raw	73.07 ±1.59	1.76 ±0.42	2.71 ± 0.68	1.92± 0.66	20.52 ± 1.12	0.02 ± 0.25
	Smoked	26.69 ±0.03	3.08±0.01	11.44±0.01	6.73±0.02	46.78±0.01	2.26 ±0.01
B	Raw	71.52 ±1.83	1.57 ±0.42	2.88 ± 0.23	2.04 ± 0.59	21.93 ± 1.93	0.07 ± 0.04
	Smoked	32.15±0.02	3.28±0.02	10.86 ±0.01	8.04±0.01	43.20 ±0.02	2.42±0.01
C	Raw	72.26 ±1.27	1.19 ±0.04	2.34 ± 0.26	2.07 ± 0.63	22.15 ± 0.43	0.00±0.00
	Smoked	32.21±0.02	3.73±0.01	11.53±0.02	4.12±0.02	45.82 ±0.01	2.50±0.01

A= Samples from Iyin Road; B= Samples from Egbewa area; C= Samples from Housing Annex. Values are presented in mean ± standard deviation; CHO = Carbohydrate

**Table 2a. Heavy Metal Composition of Raw *Clariasgariepinus***

Vendors	Fish Organs	As (ppm)	Cd (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Mg (ppm)	Pb (ppm)
A	GILL	0.08 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00	0.17 ± 0.02	30.91 ± 2.56	0.02 ± 0.00
	LIVER	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.04 ± 0.08	17.88 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 0.00
	MUSCLE	ND	0.00 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.03	17.52 ± 3.15	0.01 ± 0.00
B	GILL	0.06 ± 0.01	0.02 ±0.00	0.09± 0.01	34.50 ±2.68	0.03 ± 0.04
	LIVER	0.01 ± 0.00	ND	0.02 ± 0.00	22.78 ± 1.41	0.02 ± 0.00
	MUSCLE	0.04± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.07	0.06 ± 0.01	22.48 ± 0.98	ND
C	GILL	0.10 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.00	0.21 ±0.00	39.51 ± 0.91	0.08 ±0.10
	LIVER	0.02± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	26.28 ± 1.36	0.03 ± 0.00
	MUSCLE	ND	0.00 ± 0.00	0.11 ± 0.03	23.85 ± 2.02	ND
	WHO LIMIT	0.05	0.01	0.05	150	0.05

**Table 2b. Heavy Metal Composition of Smoked *Clariasgariepinus*(Muscle)**

Samples	As (ppm)	Cd(ppm)	Cr(ppm)	Mg (ppm)	Pb(ppm)
A	0.09±0.003	ND	0.08±0.01	16.51±0.01	0.01
B	0.021±0.011	0.01±0.00	0.09±0.002	14.06±0.01	ND
C	0.001±0.00	ND	0.03±0.00	15.89±0.01	ND
WHO	0.05	0.005	0.05	150	0.05

A – Catfish samples from Agric olope B – Catfish samples from Omisanjana C – Catfish samples from GRA  
 As – Arsenic Cd – Cadmium Cr – Chromium WHO: World Health Organization ppm: parts per million ND: not detected  
 Values are presented in Mean ± standard deviation

**Magnesium (Mg):** The value of magnesium measured in the smoked fish sample presented in Table 2b it ranged from (14.06±0.01) ppm to (16.51±0.01) ppm while the concentration of magnesium in raw catfish samples from the different location as presented in Table 2a ranged from (17.52 ± 3.15) % to (23.85 ± 2.02) % which is below the permissible limit.

**Lead (Pb):** Lead was not detected in almost all of the smoked samples as presented in Table 2b while for raw samples lead was detected only in samples from location A, the total mean value was observed to be (0.008 ± 0.004) % Table 2a and it is below the permissible limit by WHO.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

*Clarias gariepinus* fish species commonly consumed in Nigeria both in smoked and freshly cooked forms. The proximate analysis of the fish species presents the nutrient composition of the two different forms. Moisture content measured in the raw *Clarias gariepinus* which ranged between (73.074 ± 1.59) % and (71.52 ± 1.83) %. was higher than that of the smoked fish ranging from (26.69±0.03) % to (32.21± 0.02) % which could be attributed to the dehydration process of the smoked fish. A low moisture content as shown in the smoked catfish reflects its ability to be more preservable [13] than the raw fish as moisture presents a suitable breeding ground for microorganisms which can cause deterioration of the fish. Alternately, Ash, fibre, protein and carbohydrate content was quite higher in smoked fish samples than the raw fish samples. The higher ash content could be attributed to the high drying temperature during the smoking procedure [14] and could also be as a result of high mineral component of the Fish species [15]. The Fibre content recorded was within the range of (2.26 ±0.01) % to (2.50±0.01) % which was quite lower than what was reported by Namagaet *al.* [16] for males and females of *C. gariepinus* which recorded 3.5 -4.5 %. The protein content of smoked fish ranged (43.2±0.02) % to (46.78±0.01) which was within the range of the values reported by Namagaet *al.* [16] but lower than the values reported by Ikyoet *al.*, 2022 which was (66.11 to 68.97) %. Based on the report of the study, smoked fish appear to be more nutritious than the raw fish samples also coupled with longer shelf life it presents due to lower moisture content. However, according to FAO (2012), the composition of a particular species often appears to vary from one fishing ground to another, and from season to season, but the basic causes of changes in composition are

usually variation in the amount and quantity of food that the fish eats and the amount of movement it takes. It further stressed that when fish are overcrowded, there may not be enough food to go round, thus intake will be low and composition will change accordingly. Chemical composition of fish flesh is regarded as reliable predictor of its flesh quality, nutritional value, physiological state and habitat (Ravichandran *et al.*, 2011). Also, Okonjo and Enoma [17] suggested that the differences in the percentage protein contents between the fish raised in pond and those raised in the river could be as a result of the fact that fishes raised in fish ponds are constantly being fed with highly quality food in addition to the presence of zooplankton in ponds which is natural source of protein. The proximate components of the fish flesh combine to form the nutritional profile which give a first indication about the fish's commercial standard that are required for food regulations.

The elemental composition of *C. gariepinus* showed similar range of values both for smoked and raw fish samples. The concentrations of Cr and Mg across the three samples (A, B and C) exceeds the limit values of 0.05 as recommended by World Health Organization [18] and Standard organization of Nigeria for each of the metals. Although the concentration of trace metals in catfish from this study were lower than those reported by Indrajitet *al.* [19]. According to Muiruriet *al.* [20], the low levels of Pb may as well pose fear of poisoning since low levels are also known to be toxic. It is obvious that fish muscles are capable of bioaccumulation of heavy metals which may pose danger to fish consumers. Generally, the concentrations of the selected heavy metals in catfish which were raised in fish pond C were lower than the values observed in the two other samples. This could be as a result of the proper and regular monitoring of the fish ponds and partly to the fact that effluents from industries can't flow directly into these fish ponds. This could also be as a result of the released of toxic effluents into the water system as well as the continuous release of CO<sub>2</sub> in moving vehicles. According to Muiruriet *al.* [20], weathering of soil and rocks as well as a variety of anthropogenic activities are two independent factors that result into the presence of heavy metals in water.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The result from the study showed that the moisture content of smoked fish sample assessed were much lower than the protein content, hence smoked fish may be considered

to be more proteinous than the freshly cooked fish. Also, the heavy metal composition was below the WHO standard limit which proves that the process of smoking does not introduce heavy metals into the fish and that the fish reared in ponds are relatively safer than those in the wild which are mostly predisposed to pollutant.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the input of the laboratory technologist, Mr Samson Mabayoje of the Department of Biological Sciences Afe Babalola University, ABUAD during the proximate analysis and heavy metal assessment of the fish samples.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Dauda A, Mohd I (FMI Natrah), NatrahFatin, Karim, Murni, Kamarudin, Mohd Salleh, Bichi, Armaya'u. African catfish aquaculture in Malaysia and Nigeria: Status, Trends and Prospects. *Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal*. 2018;9. DOI: 10.4172/2150-3508.1000237
2. FAO. Record fisheries and aquaculture production makes critical contribution to global food security: FAO's The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture says growth driven by aquaculture; 2022.
3. Solak K, Akyurt U. Stomach contents of the catfish (*Clariasgariepinus*Burchell, 1822) in the River Asi, Turkey. *Turkey Journal of Zoology*. 2015;25(4):461–468.
4. Nyamweya CS, Mlewa CM, Ngugi CC, Kaunda-Arara B. Validation of daily growth of African catfish *Clariasgariepinus* (Burchell 1822) young-of-the-year from Lake Baringo, Kenya. *Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management*. 2017;15(4):341–345.
5. Kwak TJ, Porath MT, Michaletz PH, Travnichek VH. Catfish science: Status and trends in the 21st century. *Conservation, Ecology, and Management of Catfish: The Second International Symposium*. American Fishery Society. 2016;77(5):755–780.
6. Keyombe JL, Waitthaka E, Obegi B. Length – weight relationship and condition factor of *Clariasgariepinus* Lake Naivasha, Kenya. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*.2015;2(6):382–385.
7. Chen, Jiali, Jayachandran, Muthukumaran, Bai, Weibin, Xu, Baojun. A critical review on the health benefits of fish consumption and its bioactive constituents. *Food Chemistry*. 2021;369:130874. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130874.
8. Maulu S, Nwanzi K, Abdel-Tawwab M, Khalil HS. Fish nutritional value as an approach to children's nutrition. *Front Nutrition*. 2021 Dec 15;8:780844. DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2021.780844. PMID: 34977125; PMCID: PMC8715098
9. Hossain MB, Sultana J, Pingki FH, Nur A, Mia MS, Bakar MA, Yu J, Paray BA, Arai T. Accumulation and contamination assessment of heavy metals in sediments of commercial aquaculture farms from a coastal area along the northern Bay of Bengal. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2023;11:1148360. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1148360
10. Hashim R, Song TH, Muslim NZ, Yen TP. Determination of heavy metal levels in fishes from the lower reach of the Kelantan River, Kelantan, Malaysia. *Tropical Life Science Reserve*. 2014 Dec;25(2):21-39. PMID: 27073597; PMCID: PMC4814144.
11. Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*. 2014 Jun;7(2):60-72. DOI: 10.2478/intox-2014-0009. Epub 2014 Nov 15. PMID: 26109881; PMCID: PMC4427717
12. Okwodu N, Okorie P, NwokeBieh. Determination of heavy metal concentration in two bony fish (Catfish and Tilapia) from Orashi River in Rivers State,

- Nigeria. Asian Journal of Research in Zoology. 2021;20-36.  
DOI: 10.9734/ajriz/2021/v4i430122.
13. Ali MM, Ali ML, Islam MS, Rahman MZ. Assessment of toxic metals in water and sediment of Pasur River in Bangladesh. Water Science Technology 2018 Mar;77(5-6):1418-1430.  
DOI: 10.2166/wst.2018.016. PMID: 29528329
  14. Olayemi FF, Adedayo MR, Bamishaiye EI, Awagu EF. Proximate composition of catfish (*Clariasgariepinus*) smoked in Nigerian Stored Products Research Institute (NSPRI): Developed kiln. Nigerian Stored Products Research Institute, Kano, Nigeria; 2011. Accepted 7 March, 2011.
  15. Liu J, Cao, L, Dou S, Trophic transfer, biomagnification and risk assessments of four common heavy metals in the food web of Laizhou Bay, the Bohai Sea, Science of The Total Environment. 2019;670:508-522. ISSN 0048-9697.  
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoten.v.2019.03.140>.
  16. Namaga WM, Yahaya B, Salam MA. Proximate composition of male and female African catfish (*Clariasgariepinus*) and Tilapia (*Tilapia Zilli*) in Jega River, Kebbi State. Nigeria. Journal of Fisheries, Livestock and Veterinary Science. 2020;1(2):28- 35.
  17. Okonjo VA, Enoma TO. Gross chemical composition of some pond raised and river fishes in Nigeria. Tropical Rawwater Biology.2007;16(2):27-33.
  18. World Health Organization (WHO). Malathion in drinking water Background Document for Preparation of WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality. World Health Organization (WHO/SDE/WSH/03.04/103). Geneva; 2013.
  19. Indrajit S, Ajay S, Shrivastava VS. Study for determination of heavy metals in fish species of the river Yamuna(Delhi) by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). Advanced Applied Science Resources. 2011;2(2):161-166.
  20. Muiruri JM, Nyambaka HN, Nawiri MP. Heavy metals in water and tilapia fish from Athi-galana-sabaki tributaries, Kenya. International Food Research Journal. 2013;20(2):891-896.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

---