

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125198
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Teacher Accountability: Development and Validation of the Teacher's Professional Accountability Scale (TPAS)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- Developing the professional performance of teachers in accordance with the most urgent educational standards. 2- Developing the classroom performance of students within the educational classrooms. 3- Viewing students' academic outcomes as a top priority in the classroom and this is achieved through the professional accountability of the teacher. 4- Improving the educational output of students and thus the success of the school system in achieving the goals set accurately.	Do not write any terminology or definition of a term in the abstract
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and can be added (to increase student achievement) in the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the word term should be deleted from the summary and some recommendations have been added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the study problem has been added - the study questions, the importance of the study, the terminology of the study, the results and recommendations, and they are colored yellow	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically correct, but it needs some amendments proposed to the researcher and has been added and colored in yellow to guide the researcher, the goal is to guide the researcher to write in the correct scientific way	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference 8 must be permanently deleted and replaced with another reference that has been added, which is in yellow.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<b>Yes, the English language is correct and suitable for scientific writing of the article</b>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Review the article well and include the standards of professional accountability for teachers, if these standards are not included, the research fails to achieve the goals set by the researcher, which is to reach the teacher evaluation scale.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Wael Kamal El-Din Hashem Mustafa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Minia University, Egypt</b>