

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118916
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing language learning: exploring TELL implementation in Integrated Intensive Course through the SAMR model
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In theory, but the research is limited to 4 participants, of which only 1 is covered in detail, to negate its importance.</p> <p>It lacks detail, is grammatically incorrect and there is also an issue with capitalisation.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>The ones implemented are, though the conclusion is weak with regard to its coverage, lack of clarity, contradictions and relevance</p> <p>The body of research is too limited, by far.</p> <p>Yes, they are, regarding the number in the bibliography. However, some of the information provided in the text lack sourcing</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It isn't. There are too many errors of various types, such as the absence of the passive voice. However, the main issue which is prevalent throughout is the lack of coherence and cohesion due to the absence of conjunctions/linking words, as well as the inappropriacy of some of the ones which were used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is also a lack of clarity and contradictory input in places, which can make comprehension an issue.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gareth Morgan
Department, University & Country	Macquarie University, Shanghai University, UK