

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126172
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Parental Deprivation and Academic Achievement among Children from Public Primary Schools in Masaba South, Kenya
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good, but referring to global deprivation indexes will make it a valuable addition to the scientific literature. Moreover, only two factors have been addressed, 'absenteeism and performance'; however, it could be made more impactful by adding one or two factors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Impact of Parental Deprivation on Academic Success of Children: A Study of Public Primary Schools in Masaba South, Kenya	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Avoid using references in the abstract. Avoid giving many digits in the abstract. Explain the methodology more clearly, indicating other parts of the methodology.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction needs to be restructured by adding a problem statement at the end and defining deprivation at the start.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The introduction does not define "deprivation," specifically "Parental deprivation." A literature review is good, but the problem has not been described scientifically according to the study area. Moreover, the difference between subjective and objective deprivation has not been considered, which needs to be considered. Global deprivation indexes should be referred to while defining the problem and comparing countries. The conclusion should be solely based on the findings of the study, and the references part should be moved to the discussion section, which is already not sufficient.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are not aligned according to one style.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kanwal Rubab
Department, University & Country	Pakistan