

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SARJNP_125528 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DETERMINATION OF ANALGESIC POTENTIAL OF CYCLOHEXANONE DERIVATIVES (DIBENZYLIDENE-ACETOPHENONE ANALOGS) USING MICE |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study highlights the use of some chemical compounds that can replace analgesics or can be used as adjuvants. The author only mentioned once in the abstract that this can be used for veterinary patients. There is a need to add few lines about its veterinary use within the manuscript | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | I have highlighted some changes where ever needed. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I have highlighted some grammatical mistakes. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - | There is a need to few more recent references. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name: | Sadia Suri Kashif |
| Department, University & Country | Ziauddin University, Pakistan |