

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126025
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the spectacular performance of diverse chrysanthemum varieties in flowering, quality and yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is appropriate as a genotypic evaluation which serve as a base for crop improvement experiments. Further the best performed varieties can be advocated for commercial cultivation based on their utility. The best varieties can be used as parent material for varietal improvement or breeding aspects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is highly constricted and not clear. Aim, location and methodology of the study can be described clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes But in results and discussion subdivision of the different parameters studied is essential.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Chrysanthemum is a most important flower crop with rich genetic diversity. A part of this diversity is studied in this experiment with suitable methodology to explore the yield and quality parameters which directly influence the economic viability of the crop. The findings of the experiments will also serve the needs of crop improvement experiments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient Include the reference for agronomic practices followed and statistical Analysis	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments	Elaborate the abstract part as suggested. Need to correct the typographical errors commented in the reviewed copy. Discuss the results for different parameters as a small and individual paragraph.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karthik D R
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India