

Unveiling the spectacular performance of diverse chrysanthemum varieties in flowering, quality and yield

ABSTRACT

A comprehensive research initiative conducted in Jhalawar 2019-20 focused on evaluating the genetic diversity and performance of various chrysanthemum varieties. Ten different genotypes were carefully chosen for the study, including Marigold, Poornima Pink, Dall White, Calcutta Shantini, Karnool, Poornima Red, Dall Yellow, Poornima White, Poornima Yellow and Dundi for in-depth analysis. A randomised block design with three replications was used in the experiment. Cultivar 'Calcutta Shantini' exhibited the maximum days taken to first flower bud emergence of plant (51.50 days) and the maximum number of flowers per plant (269.90). Conversely, cv. 'Dall Yellow' showed the shortest duration to fifty percent flowering (67.64 days). Notably, cv. 'Dundi' displayed the maximum flower diameter (7.47 cm), while cv. 'Poornima Pink' had the longest flower stalk (13.09 cm). Remarkably, cv. 'Marigold' demonstrated the highest flower fresh weight (63.67 gm), dry weight (14.41 gm), and shelf life (4.67 days).

Key words: *Evaluation, Genetic variability, Varietal performance, Chrysanthemum, Genotypes.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Chrysanthemums, belonging to the Asteraceae family, originated primarily in Europe and Asia in the northern hemisphere. They possess a basic chromosome number of $n=9$ and their diverse cultivars showcase a broad spectrum of ploidy levels, ranging from $2n=36, 45, 47, 71, \text{ to } 75$. These plants, which can be annual or perennial herbs with occasional woody characteristics, feature inflorescences known as "capitulum." Within these capitulum, perfect disc florets stand out alongside pistillate ray florets, all supported by a naked, flat or convex receptacle adorned with imbricate, angled or terete involucre scales. Chrysanthemum, a versatile floral marvel, is enjoying a surge in popularity as a cherished choice for adorning interior spaces and embellishing exquisite bouquets. In India, the result of extensive genetic enhancement research across diverse institutions has led to the development of approximately one thousand captivating varieties. Despite the vast spectrum of possibilities, there remains an untapped potential for further elevating this floral gem through focused attention and innovative improvement initiatives. Identifying chrysanthemum varieties tailored to diverse agro-climatic conditions and specific purposes is imperative. A thorough understanding of the existing material's variability and the relationships between different traits is essential groundwork for any breeding program striving for superior yield and quality. This foundational knowledge paves the way for targeted innovation, ensuring that each bloom thrives in its unique environment, delivering excellence in both quantity and caliber. In order to appraise the performance of each cultivar, genetic diversity must be

assessed. Present investigation's main objective was to study performance of diverse chrysanthemum varieties in flowering, quality and yield parameters.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The current experiment was conducted in 2019-2020 at the College of Horticulture & Forestry, Jhalrapatan City, Jhalawar, in the Department of Floriculture and Landscaping. The experiment had ten genotypes and three replications, and it was set up using a randomised block design. In each sub-block, a genotype was assigned at random. During the crop growing phase, the crop was effectively raised by adhering to advised agronomic measures. The sample for the observations consisted of five randomly chosen plants per variety every replication that were tagged to prevent border plants. Jhalawar's climate is usually sub-humid, with heavy summertime rainfall, dramatic winter temperature swings, and moderate relative humidity.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Floral parameters

Variations in the time taken for the first bud emergence were notable across various chrysanthemum genotypes. The appearance of the first flower bud was recorded earlier in cv. 'Dall White' (36.92 days), closely trailed by 'Dall yellow' (37.13 days). The divergence in the time frame for flower bud initiation across distinct cultivars could be attributed to the presence of ample genetic variability. (Jamaluddin *et al.*, 2015). Concurrent results in chrysanthemum were reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2015) and Siddique *et al.*, (2018). The minimum duration for fifty percent flowering was noted in cv. 'Dall Yellow' (67.64 days), succeeded closely by cv. 'Dall White' (67.90 days). Conversely, the maximum duration for achieving fifty percent flowering was observed in cv. 'Poornima Red', taking 80.44 days. This variance in flowering time among the cultivars highlights their distinct growth patterns. Variation in days taken to fifty percent flowering may be due to genetic trait or makeup (Byadwal *et al.*, 2018). This result is consistent with related results made in chrysanthemum by Talukdar *et al.*, (2006), Kishan *et al.*, (2008), Naik *et al.*, (2019) in chrysanthemum. Diverse floral splendor unfolded among various chrysanthemum varieties, each boasting its own distinct diameter. Among the chrysanthemum varieties observed, cv. 'Dundi' boasted the maximum flower diameter (7.47 cm), closely pursued by cv. 'Poornima White' (7.40 cm). Conversely, cv. 'Calcutta Shantini' exhibited the minimum flower diameter, (4.23 cm). The inherent characteristics of different cultivars may be the cause of the variance in genotypes for blossom diameter (Jamaluddin *et al.*, 2015). This variance might result from genotypic variations in the phenotypic manifestation of blossom diameter as well as variations in the genetic composition of the cultivars (Singh *et al.*, 2017). Similar finding in chrysanthemum was reported by Prabhu *et al.*, (2018), Mishra *et al.*, (2006), Rao and Pratap (2006) and Kavita *et al.*, (2003). Cultivar 'Poornima Pink' achieved the longest flower stalk length (13.09 cm), while cv. 'Dall White' followed closely behind at 11.96 cm. Conversely, cv. 'Marigold' recorded the shortest flower stalk length (8.26 cm). Differences in stalk length among different chrysanthemum varieties may arise from genetic variations influencing the expression of this phenotypic trait, as suggested by Ona *et al.* (2015). According to Jamal *et al.* (2015), it was shown that cultivars with larger plants generated longer flower stalks than cultivars with smaller plants. The concurrent results in in gaillardia and China aster were found by Tamut and Kulkarni (2013) and Zosiamlina *et al.*, (2013) respectively. Variations in the number of ray florets per flower were notable across different chrysanthemum varieties. Notably, the cv. 'Marigold' exhibited the maximum ray florets (237.50), followed by cv. 'Poornima White' (233.66). Conversely, the cv. 'Calcutta Shanthini' displayed the minimum ray florets per flower (133.66). Dewan *et al.* (2016) stated that changes in the morphological qualities among the floral attributes in chrysanthemum might possibly be the cause of differences in the number of ray florets. Talukdar *et al.* (2003) and Suvija *et al.*

(2016) discovered similar outcomes in chrysanthemum. Significant difference of fresh weight of flower was observed in different varieties of chrysanthemum. Maximum fresh weight of flower (63.67 gm) recorded in cultivar 'Marigold' followed by cv. 'Poornima Yellow' (46.00 gm). In cv. 'Poornima Red' minimum fresh weight of flower was observed (21.00 gm). The variance can be attributed to larger flower sizes characterized by prominent central disc florets and an increased number of well-developed ray florets, as outlined by Keerthi *et al.*, (2017). There might be a correlation between the size and weight of flowers. According to Byadwal *et al.* (2018), the fresh weight of flowers increases with their size. Kumar *et al.* (2007) and Kishan *et al.* (2007) showed similar findings in variance owing to blossom size in chrysanthemum. Cultivar 'Marigold' recorded maximum dry weight of flower (14.41gm) followed by cv. 'Poornima Pink' (13.67 gm). Minimum dry weight of flower was observed in cv. 'Calcutta Shantini' (5.90 gm). Then variation in weight of dry flower was may be due to reduced flower diameter, number of ray florets in dry matter content. (Wasternack, 2006) and (Ataei *et al.*, 2013).

3.2. Yield parameters

Distinct variations in the number of flower pluckings were observed among different chrysanthemum varieties. 'Karnool' cultivar yielded the highest number of plucking (3.93), statistically equivalent to cv. 'Poornima Pink' (3.87). There might be a number of reasons for the increase in flower plucking, including genetic variety variation, increased main branching, and environmental factors acting in concert. Similar results in gaillardia were reported by Girange *et al.* (2016) and Byadwal *et al.*, (2018). 'Poornima Yellow' (326.78 q/ha) recorded maximum while cv. 'Poornima Pink' (90.10 q/ha) recorded minimum flower yield per hectare. Differences in yield of flower among different varieties may be attributed to the cumulative effect of additive genes, as suggested by Behera *et al.*, (2002). Increased floral weight and size, as well as more number of flowers per plant, contributed to the increased flower yield. According to Munikrishnappa *et al.* (2013), varieties with higher dry matter buildup probably contributed to the higher bloom production. Srilatha *et al.* (2015) noted that greater yields may be a result of both a higher number of flowers and increased flower weight. The increased number of leaves may contribute to the creation and accumulation of photosynthetic material, which in turn produces more flowers with larger sizes, as noted by Kumar *et al.* (2017). This might explain the rise in yield of flower. Concurrent findings reported in carnation and marigold by Patil (2001) and (Naik *et al.*, 2005) respectively. Significant variation was recorded in the treatments with respect to flower yield per plant. Among all the varieties 'Poornima Yellow' (522.86 gm) was recorded maximum flower yield per plant while cv. 'Poornima Pink' (144.17gm) recorded minimum flower yield was recorded in. According to Baskaran *et al.* (2009), a greater rate of water absorption relative to the transpiration rate may also be responsible for the variations in flower output that have been found across the types. The weight of flowers on a plant is closely connected with the quantity of flowers on a plant, which might account for variation in flower production per plant (Sharma *et al.*, 2014). Increases in morphological characteristics such as plant height, leaf count, and leaf area may have led to the generation of additional photosynthates, which in turn increased the amount of dry matter that accumulated in the rose plant and explained the yield variation (Ramzan *et al.*, 2014). Calcutta Shantini' (269.90) followed by cv. 'Poornima Red' (213.20) showed maximum number of flowers and cv. 'Poornima Pink' (51.02) minimum number of flowers per plant was observed in. The maximum yield was obtained by varying the number of flowers per plant, mainly because more flower buds were produced overall when more branches were started per plant (Prabhu *et al.*, 2018). Differences in the number of flowers per plant among varieties may arise from variations in the number of floret spikes. Genetic variables that control physiological processes and affect the synthesis of proteins and amino acids necessary for growth and development may be connected to this variance (Bajaraya *et al.*, 2018). Similar finding by Nagaraju and

Parthasarathy (2001) in gladiolus and found varietal differences for floret size have been reported.

3.3. Quality parameters

A significant difference for shelf life of flower was observed in different varieties of chrysanthemum. Cultivar 'Marigold' recorded maximum shelf life of flower (4.67days) followed by cv. 'Poornima Pink' (4.07 days). Minimum shelf life of flower was observed in cv. 'Dall Yellow' (1.97 days). Differences in the shelf life among varieties could be attributed to varying levels of stored carbohydrates in the plant. This variability might arise from differences in photosynthesis production among the varieties, influenced by variations in their photosynthetic area. Thus, it is possible to draw the conclusion that genetic differences lead to varying levels of carbohydrate buildup, which in turn determines how long flowers last on the shelf (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). Increased photosynthetic activity as seen by an increase in dry matter accumulation may have come from having more leaves, which may have contributed to a prolonged shelf life (Dharmendra *et al.*, 2019). Comparable results were noted by Munikrishnappa *et al.* (2011) in China aster and Patil *et al.* (2003) in gladiolus. Among all the varieties 'Marigold' recorded maximum vase life of flowers (12.13 days). Changes in cultivar sensitivity to ethylene and changes in these features may be caused by genotype differences in genetic composition. Variations in vase life may also result from differences in the accumulation of carbohydrates as a result of varying leaf development (Vetrivel and Jawaharlal 2014). Concurrent result was also reported by Kavita *et al.*, (2003) in gerbera.

Table 1: Analysis of chrysanthemum genotypes for floral and yield and quality parameters

| Genotypes | First flower bud emergence (days) | Fifty per-cent flowerin g (days) | Flower diameter (cm) | Flower stalk length (cm) | No of ray florets | Fresh flower weight (gm) | Dry flower weight (gm) | No. of flowers/plant | Flower yield/plant (gm) | No. of flower plucking | Yield/ hectare (q/ha) | Shelf life of flowers (days) | Vase life of flowers |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Marigold | 40.33 | 74.39 | 6.38 | 8.26 | 237.50 | 63.67 | 14.41 | 52.20 | 205.30 | 3.32 | 128.31 | 4.67 | 12.13 |
| Poornima Pink | 41.33 | 75.39 | 6.36 | 13.09 | 187.66 | 43.00 | 13.67 | 51.02 | 144.17 | 3.87 | 90.10 | 4.07 | 11.50 |
| Dall White | 36.92 | 67.90 | 6.34 | 11.96 | 194.50 | 36.00 | 10.23 | 98.05 | 324.59 | 3.07 | 202.87 | 3.17 | 9.43 |
| Calcutta Shantini | 51.50 | 78.76 | 4.23 | 9.80 | 133.66 | 26.00 | 5.90 | 269.90 | 419.88 | 2.10 | 262.42 | 3.42 | 9.90 |
| Karnool | 40.58 | 71.26 | 7.12 | 11.45 | 137 | 27.33 | 6.27 | 101.50 | 232.16 | 3.93 | 145.09 | 2.58 | 7.80 |
| Poornima Red | 48.58 | 80.44 | 4.68 | 8.46 | 197.5 | 21.00 | 6.97 | 213.20 | 264.38 | 3.17 | 165.24 | 2.72 | 10.50 |
| Dall Yellow | 37.13 | 67.64 | 6.22 | 11.03 | 201.50 | 35.00 | 8.27 | 120.02 | 291.48 | 3.27 | 182.17 | 1.97 | 9.03 |
| Poornima White | 47.20 | 75.64 | 7.40 | 11.33 | 233.66 | 43.33 | 11.12 | 117.58 | 444.40 | 2.99 | 277.75 | 2.87 | 8.93 |
| Poornima Yellow | 46.38 | 77.02 | 6.75 | 10.72 | 199.00 | 46.00 | 11.73 | 141.47 | 522.86 | 2.95 | 326.78 | 2.88 | 9.50 |
| Dundi | 38.42 | 71.75 | 7.47 | 11.44 | 167.50 | 32.67 | 6.27 | 139.63 | 372.31 | 3.73 | 232.69 | 2.56 | 8.03 |
| Mean | 42.83 | 74.00 | 6.29 | 10.75 | 188.95 | 37.40 | 9.48 | 130.45 | 322.15 | 3.23 | 201.34 | 3.08 | 9.67 |
| SEm± | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 1.18 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 8.74 | 16.84 | 0.15 | 10.53 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| CD 5% | 0.95 | 2.04 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 1.89 | 0.45 | 25.97 | 50.05 | 0.45 | 31.28 | 0.54 | 0.63 |

4. CONCLUSION

The research findings indicated that the the highest yield per plant demonstrated by 'Poornima Yellow' and projected flower yield per hectare, while the 'Marigold' cultivar exhibited the longest shelf and vase life. However, despite these results, 'Poornima White', 'Dall White', and 'Marigold' cultivars gained popularity in the local market owing to their visually appealing color and attractive flower appearance.

REFERENCES

- Ataei, N., Moradi, H. and Akbarpour, V. (2013). Growth parameters and photosynthetic pigments of marigold under stress induced by Jasmonic acid. *Noulaet Scientia Biologicae*, 5(4), 513-513.
- Bajaraya, B., Kanawjia, A., Jaysawal, N., Dubey, A., Parveen, S. and Pawaiya, S. (2018). Performance of different cultivars of Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) under agro-climatic conditions of Gwalior. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6), 98-102.
- Baskaran, V., Jayanthi, R., Janakiram, T. and Abirami, K. (2009). Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in chrysanthemum. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 4(2),174-176.
- Behera, T. K., Sirohi, P. S. and Anand Pal. (2002). Assessment of chrysanthemum germplasm for commercial cultivation under Delhi condition. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 5(2),11-14.
- Byadwal, R. K., Meena, M. K., Chauhan, S. and Rundla, S. K. (2018). Performance of different genotypes of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella*Foug.) in respect to flowering, quality and yield parameter. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6), 2697-2700.
- Dewan, N., Kumar, S., Sharma, S. and Chakraborty, S. (2016). Evaluation of Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) genotypes under West Garo hills district, Meghalaya. *Horticulture Floriculture Research Spectrum*, 5 (3), 189-194.
- Dharmendra, N., Kandpal, K., Hugar, A. and Gouda, M. (2019). Performance of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees) genotypes for flower quality and shelf life traits. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4), 2386-2389.
- Girahge, R. R., Charjan, S, U., Jadhav, G, N., Bhaskarwar, A, C., Ghube, N, N. and Lambat, A, P. (2016). Evaluation of different genotypes of gaillardia for growth, flowering and yield parameters. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research*. 6(6), 301-306.

- Jamal Uddin, Taufique, T., Ona, A. F., Shahrin, S. and Mehraj, H. (2015). Growth and flowering performance evaluation of thirty-two chrysanthemum cultivars. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 4(1), 40-51.
- Kavita, K. P., Santosh, K., Ranjan, S. and Ram, C. (2003). Evaluation of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*) cultivars under tarai condition. Progress report of *All India Coordinated Floriculture Improvement Project*, 138-142.
- Kireeti, A., Ravindrababu, M., Prasad, J. O., and Ramadevi, P. (2017). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) varieties in humid coastal zone of Andhra Pradesh. *International Journal of chemical studies*, **5(3)**: 370-372.
- Kisahn, S., Prasad, K. V. and Raju, D. (2007). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) germplasm in winter season under Delhi conditions IARI. *101 Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 11 (1), 58-61.
- Kumar R, Yadav D. S. and Roy, A. R. (2007). Performance of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) cultivars under subtropical mid hills altitude of Meghalaya. *Environment and Ecology*. 255 (34), 941-944.
- Kumar, A., Dubey, P., Patanwar, M. and Sharma, R. (2015). Evaluation of chrysanthemum varieties for loose flower production in Chhattisgarh plains. *Trends in Biosciences*, 8 (1), 175-177.
- Kumar, S., and Polara, D. (2017). Evaluation of Chrysanthemum Varieties on Growth and Quality under South Saurashtra Region. *International Journal of Pure and applied Bioscience*, 5(4), 1989-1997.
- Mishra, N., Das, N. and Palai, K. (2006). Genetic variability studies in spray type chrysanthemum. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 34(1), 8-13.
- Munikrishnappa, M., Patil, A., Patil, S., Patil, N., Channappagoudar, B. and Alloli, B. (2013). Studies on the growth and yield parameters of different genotypes of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees.). *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*, 26 (1), 107-110.
- Nagaraju, V. and Parthasarathy A. (2001). Evaluation of gladiolus germplasm at midhills of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 58 (3), 269-75.
- Naik, V., Seetaramu, K., Patil, G., Tejaswani, S., G. K., S. K., and Kalmath, S. (2019). A study on evaluation of marigold genotypes for growth parameters under upper Krishna project command area in Karnataka State. *International Journal of Chemical studies* S, 7(4), 1562-1566.
- Ona, F., Roni, K., Ahmad., H. A., Jui, J. and Uddin Jamal, (2015). Study on growth and flower yield of snowball varieties. *Bangladesh Research Publication Journal*, 11(3), 182-186.

- Patil, B. (2003). Study of some exotic varieties of gladiolus. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 104
- Patil, T., (2001). Evaluation of standard carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cultivars under protected cultivation. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Agriculture Sciences, Dharwad.
- Prabhu, G., Thamaraiselvi, P., Aruna, P. and Sudhakar, R. (2018). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) genotypes for loose flower production under Coimbatore conditions. *International Journal of Chemical studies*, 6 (4), 1628-1621.
- Ramzan, A., Hanif, M. and Tariq, S. (2014). Performance of *Rosa hybrid* cultivars under agro climatic condition of Islamabad, Pakistan. *Journal of Agricultural. Research*, 52(1).
- Rao, A., M. and Pratap, M. (2006). Evaluation of varieties and variability studies in chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.). *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 9(3), 221-223.
- Sharma, P. (2014). 'Evaluation of genotypes of French marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.) under Nauni, Solan conditions.' M Sc thesis, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.
- Siddiqui, A., Lakshmi, S., Nagaraju, R., and Srinivasa, D. (2018). Performance of spray chrysanthemum cultivars (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) in polyhouse conditions. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6), 1572-1575.
- Singh, D., Tyagi, S., Singh, S. and Ray, P. (2017). Studies on the performance and flower characterization of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* L.) genotypes under Uttar Pradesh conditions. 9 (1), 1-7.
- Srilatha, V., Kumar, K. and Kiran, Y. (2015). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) varieties in southern zone of Andhra Pradesh. *Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal*, 35(2), 155-157.
- Suvija, V., Suresh, J. Kumar, S. and Kannan, M. (2016). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat) Genotypes for loose flower, cut flower and pot mums. *International Journal of Advanced and Innovative Research*, 3(4), 100-103.
- Talukdar, C., Mahanta, S., Sharma, B. and Das, S. (2003). Extent of genetic variation for growth and floral characters in chrysanthemum cultivars under Assam condition. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 6 (3), 201-211.
- Talukdar, M., Mahanta, S. and Sharma, B. (2006). Evaluation of standard chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) cultivars under polyhouse cum rain shelter and open field conditions. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, 9(2), 110-113.

- Tamut, O. and Kulkarni B. (2013). Characterization and evaluation of germplasm of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug.). *Journal of Floriculture & Landscaping*, 1(1), 44-49.
- Vetrivel, T. and Jawaharlal, M. (2014). Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) varieties for yield and quality under subtropical hills. *Trends in Biosciences*, 7(14), 1812-1815.
- Wasternack, C. (2006). Oxylipins: biosynthesis, signal transduction and action. In: *Plant Hormone Signaling* (Hedden P, Thomas SG, Eds.). Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK. 185-228.
- Zosiamliana, H., Redd and Rymbai, H. (2012). Growth, flowering and yield characters of some cultivars of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Ness.). *Journal of Natural Product and Plant Resources*, 2(2), 302-305.

UNDER PEER REVIEW