

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126315
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Nano sized Silica on Plant Growth and Development Through Molecular and Physio-Biochemical Changes: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because 1- It helps others to have complete information about Nano sized Silica 2- Laboratory investigation with different methods has been described 3- refers to the relevant genes 4- discussed its effects on different plant species (of course, it can add more species)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1-To examine the effect of nano-silica refers to appropriate cases 2-Appropriate explanations for each section 3-It has examined this effect from different state	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, it is not. 1-Please use more recent references. 2-add new references to the manuscript. 3-Recheck references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This is good, but grammatical errors should be corrected, and write the names of the plants using Binomial Nomenclature in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Nothing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helal Nemat Farahzadi
Department, University & Country	National University of Skills (NUS), Iran