

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125195
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Zinc, Boron and Rhizobium inoculation on yield and economics of Kharif Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a critical aspect of agricultural research. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES, Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its rigorous methodology, detailed experimental design, and comprehensive data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Singh, V., Singh, A., Verma, S., Rastogi, M., Yadav, P. K., & Kumar, V. (2022). Evaluation of Different Microbial Inoculum on Mung Bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) Growth, Development and Nutrient Availability. <i>International Journal of Plant & Soil Science</i> , 34(20), 295–301. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022/v34i2031155 Singh, V., Singh, A., Verma, S., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., & Singh, S. (2023). Effect of Various Microbial Inoculums on the Growth, Quality and Nutrient Uptake of Mung Bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.). <i>Environment and Ecology</i> , 41(3), 1438-1443.	
Minor REVISION comments	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikash Singh
Department, University & Country	Meerut Institute of Technology, India