

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_120705
Title of the Manuscript:	Building Agricultural Resilience: Strategies for Climate Change Adaptation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is attractive and timely, it has basic information on elements of agricultural resilience to climate change related impacts. However, it lacks scientific organization following standard components of a scientific paper like Introduction, Objective, methodology, finding or result, conclusion. It does not declare whether it is review or a suggestion even.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Some what; would be better to change Building Agricultural Resilience: Review of Some Strategies for Climate Change Adaptation in India	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No Include the aim of the paper, methodology used to synthesis the paper and which part the result is including conclusion and future directions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No it is simply a continuous numbering with out clear separation of the parts as mentioned above	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has basic information about the theme raised; still, it requires critical review of literatures and bring with argumentative position. In text citation requires uniformity and standard	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Partial	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Somewhat good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hailu Terefe
Department, University & Country	Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia