

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_126031
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Characterization of Caladium bicolor-Stabilized Iron Nanoparticle
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-organized, with a clear and logical flow of information from the synthesis of nanoparticles to their detailed characterization. The methodology is presented in a structured manner, making it easy to follow and understand	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	FINE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	GOOD	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NICE	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of Caladium bicolor extract in the green synthesis of iron nanoparticles is commendable. It highlights the environmentally friendly and cost-effective nature of the process, aligning with the growing trend towards sustainable nanomaterial production.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	GOOD. Upto date...	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ACCEPTED	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shridhar Mathad
Department, University & Country	K.LE Institute of Technology, India