

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125877
Title of the Manuscript:	Seed yield, quality and economics of alfalfa seed production as influenced by INM during kharif season under southern dry zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	As this article focused on integrated application of chemical, organic and bio-fertilizers in alfa alfa, which not only build up the soil health by increasing the nutrient, but also increases seed yield. Cost of cultivation of alfa alfa less with biofertilizers as compared to the chemical fertilizers. So, the manuscript is important for the scientific community	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title must not contain any abbreviated form.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article is comprehensive but needs more information regarding treatments.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct and technically sound as it is based on the replacement of chemical fertilisers with eco-friendly options, which minimizes the nutrient loss, increases the seed productivity and quality.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes the references are sufficient but needs to be revised as per the journal guideline.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The english quality of the article needs to be improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article needs a minor revision.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stuti Debapriya Behera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Siksha O Anusandhan University, India</b>