

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_125599
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic effects of Pterocarpus santalinoides aqueous extract in L-NAME-induced hypertensive Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript adds significantly to our understanding of the topic by providing insightful information. The results present a fresh viewpoint on Therapeutic effects of Pterocarpus santalinoides, which may enhance further investigation and real-world implementations. But since the title falls short of accurately summarizing the main ideas and conclusions of the research, I felt it doesn't justify the work completely. A more focused and captivating title would increase the manuscript's exposure and draw in a larger readership from the scholarly community.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title can be improved. Suggestion: Therapeutic Impact of Pterocarpus santalinoids on Hypertension: Evidence from L-NAME-Induced Wistar Rats</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstracts is good overall no changes required.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The thorough experimental design and rigorous technique used in this study show its scientific robustness. The authors ensure the applicability and consistency of their findings by utilizing Wistar rats induced with L-NAME, a well-established model of hypertension. Pterocarpus santalinoides has significant therapeutic effects; the statistical analyses used are appropriate and sufficiently support the conclusions drawn. The publication also includes an extensive discussion of pertinent literature, which helps to place the results in the context of a larger field and supports the study's technical validity. Overall, the manuscript's trustworthiness is increased by its meticulous attention to detail and commitment to scientific norms.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient and accurate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article's language and English quality seem appropriate for academic discourse. The manuscript successfully conveys the research objectives and conclusions through the use of proper vocabulary, formal tone, and clear sentence structures. The target audience may understand the content while still preserving academic rigor because the use of technical terminology is appropriate for the subject matter. There might be room for improvement, though, like adding more clarity to some portions or fixing any grammatical mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It's a good study and author's hardwork, dedication and efforts are shown in this work.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sidra Munir
Department, University & Country	Institute of Zoology, Gulab Devi Educational Complex, Pakistan