

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_125599
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic effects of <i>Pterocarpus santalinoides</i> aqueous extract in L-NAME-induced hypertensive Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS has revealed the importance of the extract of the stem bark of <i>Pterocarpus santalinoides</i> in relieving hypertension in rats. The findings here can be applied to man and corroborate the notion that it could serve as anti-hypertensive medication in traditional setting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive but requires editorial work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The style and presentation of the MS are very similar to that of Ramatou Chinte Yamjom, Esther Ngo Lemba Thom, Nguenguim Florence Tsofack, Fouda Yannick Bekono, Raceline Gounoue Kamkuno, Dimo Théophile. Aqueous extract of <i>Pterocarpus santalinoides</i> DC stem bark prevents L-NAME-induced hypertension in rat. The Journal of Phytopharmacology 2021; 10 (3): 166-172.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but a handful of them are not recent (over 15 years old).	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but requires editing	
Optional/General comments	RESULTS: In Table 1, what do PAS (mmHg), PAD (mmHg), PAM (mmHg) and FC (BPM) stand for?	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jbi Aderiye
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria