

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_125919
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of potential cancer inhibitors using Curcuma longa (Turmeric) extract through molecular docking, adme and DFT methods
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript not clearly mentioning the type of cancer targeting, eventhough targets selection information there, it is not clear about why it is selected. No clear mentioning about the selection of ethanol and chloroform as solvent for extraction. Discussion of the manuscript is not enough.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not properly aligned, the word ADME is in lowercase throughout the manuscript, no information about which cancer is targeted.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance of FTIR is not mentioned, the last 2 tables are not having title and footnotes and also from curcuma longa many isolated pure compounds were already checked for its anticancer effect but still why crude extract is checked in the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Reference style is not uniform and not properly aligned.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Requires slight revision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Vijaya Bharathi
Department, University & Country	SRMIST, India