

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125717
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of ICT Gadgets by Rural Students: A Study of Usage Levels and Correlation with Socio-Demographic Factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Title "Utilization of ICT Gadgets by Rural Students: A Study of Usage Levels and Correlation with Socio-Demographic Factors" is important for get the knowledge and understanding how things work in present scenario especially in rural area of India. Research on usage of ICT tool by the students facilitates the improvisation in quality of life, innovation and sharing finding to the public. I liked the topic of manuscript as it provide fruitful information for the peoples i.e. students, entrepreneurs, researchers etc. But in this manuscript require some improvisation which I have explained in other section.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , abstract of the article is Comprehensive. Data collection of other sources may be added from more number of schools rather than only two schools. Geographical Area may be extended. Author have considered only Hisar, Haryana.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Addition of the review of literature in more detail. At present it shows little information about the topic and literature gape. T-test and correlation methods looks technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	According to the addition of Review of Literature, references may be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, but Improve vocabulary and check grammar	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varun Kumar
Department, University & Country	Mangalmay Institute of Management and Technology, India