

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125585
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield of Vertically Trained Watermelon [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai] Under Different Levels of Spacing, Training and Pruning under Rain shelter
Type of the Article	Original

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Article is interesting Work done well	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be changed by –adding “Evaluation of Growth and Yield of Vertically Trained Watermelon [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai] Under Different Levels of Spacing, Training and Pruning under Rain shelter”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mention yield per plant and yield per plot in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Check one dripper releasing water capacity per hour ? in material and methods (* mentioned 2lt/hr) Check Pruning -didn't show significant changes in yield or growth of the plant Is there Any fertilizer or any cultural operations, found to be helped in getting lower node flowers in water melon Add Cost of cultivation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more 2-3 watermelon flowering and fruiting period articles	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G Venu Gopal
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India