

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_125652
Title of the Manuscript:	Uveitis as a Predictor for Myocardial Infarction in Patients with Behçet's Disease, Multi Centric Cohort Study from Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very relevant to current practice of Behcet disease as it will help in early diagnosis of a severe systemic disease	Good
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Suitable title
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In methodology type of investigations ocular and systemic has to be elaborated Type of uveitis and clinical correlation can be more precise	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript describes a very relevant correlation in current practice. Early diagnosis of Behcet disease, a severe systemic disease is key to prevent irreversible complications and to provide quality of life as these individuals are younger. Uveitis is an inflammation that has to be addressed to prevent avoidable blindness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references have to be added	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammar has to be corrected. The author has to redo grammar in the whole article	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Cha Annamalai
Department, University & Country	Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, India