

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_125480
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of fruit order on cucurbits yield components: case of <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> (Molina) Standl
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study provides useful insights into yield optimization of <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> , which is valuable for improving local food security and income. Findings on fruit order effects can aid agronomists in crop management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggest revising to: <i>Impact of Fruit Order on Yield and Quality in Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl.</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear but could briefly mention practical applications for local agriculture.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Well-organized with logical sections; subheadings are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experimental design and statistical analyses are robust and support the study's conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate, though adding recent (2020–2023) studies on cucurbit yield improvement would strengthen it.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is clear but could use minor grammatical adjustments, especially in the introduction and results.	
Optional/General comments	Relevant study aligned with goals of enhancing food security and optimizing local crops. Data presentation is clear.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noreen Fatima
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan