

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_124896
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Review of Glenohumeral Joint Dislocation in Athlete : Literature Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The incidence of shoulder dislocation in athletes is increasing. Many sports events played worldwide shows the evidence of recurrent shoulder dislocation. The choice of article is good. This provides an overview of all the core concepts of shoulder dislocation. This well help the community to understand and know the recent and common treatment choices. It also gives an overview of pathophysiology, diagnosis. Hence the article is a complete package of known and unknown recent facts of shoulder dislocation in athletes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the article title is suitable, targeting the most common problem in athletic group and provides the entire concept of manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. If possible need to add about the search engines used to flourish information in the article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, all the subsections are appropriate according to the need of article	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Looking at the incidence rate of the shoulder dislocation in athlete the research question addressed is scientifically correct. Athletes face this problem and the researchers and the rehabilitation team should know about all the possible things right from pathophysiology, methods of diagnosis and treatment choices utilized for the condition. The area covered in the article is also adequate. Hence the article is scientifically robust and technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient. Correct needed for point number F. References to G. References Numbering F is repeated twice .	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language quality is good for scholarly communications. Spelling of joint needs to be corrected in key words (written as join)	
Optional/General comments	No plagiarism suspected No, there are no competing interest issues in the manuscript No ethical issues some spellings and numbering of points	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dr. Smita Patil
Department, University & Country	Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, India