

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126047
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PROJECT-BASED LEARNING MODEL AND DIGITAL-BASED LEARNING MEDIA ON STUDENTS' EMPLOYABILITY SKILLS IN THE SUBJECT OF ACCOUNTING IN SMK NEGERI 1 GORONTALO CITY
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is interesting because it combines the PjBL method with the use of relevant technology at this time. research like this is very important to study especially in vocational schools with limited access to technology and diverse student characteristics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Consistency In Terms:</b> For example, use "Project-Based Learning Model" and "Digital Learning Media" or "Digital-Based Learning Media" consistently. <b>Clarify Focus:</b> If there is a more specific focus in job skills, you can mention whether the skills are technical skills (e.g., use of accounting software) or other skills such as communication, teamwork, etc.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Clarify the Introduction:</b> The first sentence is somewhat vague. You could briefly explain why Project-Based Learning (PjBL) and digital media are relevant in today's education or the independent curriculum.  <b>Methodological Detail:</b> While the methodology is well stated, it would help to specify the type of questionnaire or what dimensions were measured (e.g., cognitive, technical, soft skills) to give more context.  <b>Results Summary:</b> The results section is clear, but you could add a short sentence about the implications or significance of these findings to provide closure.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound as it uses a clear quantitative design with a sufficient sample size of 156 students. The use of multiple regression analysis ensures rigorous statistical evaluation. Additionally, the study addresses relevant educational practices, making the findings valuable for vocational education research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used are still very lacking and written manually. References should be linked automatically using mendeley or zotero and add more than 10 relevant references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Are placed on submitted manuscripts.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prihatin
Department, University & Country	Universitas PGRI Adi Buana, Indonesia