

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126047
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect Of Project-Based Learning Model And Digital-Based Learning Media On Students' Employability Skills In The Subject Of Accounting In Smk Negeri 1 Gorontalo City
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- The topic is interesting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Improper Title:</b> The title is partially suitable but, abbreviation such as SMK, 1 needs attention. <b>Missing Running head:</b> Short 2 or three extracted words from the title. <b>Type of Research:</b> For example, "This is a research article" <b>Missing Sequence:</b> Title page should stand alone containing the Title, Running head, Type of Research, Author(s) names, Institutional Affiliation, geographical location and Country, ORCID number, author(s) email.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Abstract:</b> This session is fine and within scope of the 150-250 word count, but there should be no use of abbreviations, and must be double spaced.  <b>Keywords:</b> The square brackets [ ] and the closing curly bracket } should all be remove and the abbreviation PJBL should be replaced	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Subsections:</b> - All the subsections should be centred. - Missing Literature Review, which must come before method. - The subsections such as Introduction, Methods and Results, Discussions, Conclusion, and References should not be numbered.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- There is no literature review for this article	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>Poor Referencing</b> - Too few references cited - No in-text citations - Chronological referencing is not adhered to.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	- All the text should be double space - The use of abbreviations such as PJBL and SMK in abstract should be avoided. - The text should not start with s square bracket [ - Transitioning from preceding to proceeding paragraphs should have a flow. - Figure 1 and figure 2 should be enlarged for visibility. - The tables should be created if possible, rather than direct copying from the web into the research document.	
Optional/General comments	- <b>Spacing:</b> The text in the article should be double – spaced. - <b>Font Size:</b> Chose font size 12 and Times New Roman - <b>Tables and Figures Titling:</b> All titles should be at the top of all tables and figures and centre aligned. - <b>References:</b> Too few references given, and no in-text citations Not known as to whether it a Research article or Case study or Project Report, Systemic review, Proceeding paper, or other	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eric Kwadwo Appiah-Odame</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aspen University, USA</b>