

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_126071
Title of the Manuscript:	A Giant Fecolith In Pelvic Acute Appendicitis: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a rarely observed case report on the etiology of acute appendicitis, one of the most common cases in general surgery. In this respect, I think it will contribute to the literature with a good explanation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it would be more appropriate to write a structured summary (Aim, Case report, Conclusion). Some spelling errors need to be corrected and abbreviations should be used appropriately.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Introduction: A reference sentence can be made regarding which radiological method should be used for differential diagnosis.</p> <p>CASE REPORT: In the appendectomy technique, it would be appropriate to provide some more information about the operative process, such as the method by which a large appendix is closed and whether a drain is used. Some abbreviations are not properly explained.</p> <p>DISCUSSION The characteristics of the case are superficially discussed. For example, how can mucocele or Crohn's disease be distinguished in large-sized appendages? If these are detected, what should be the surgical procedure? Which method should be preferred in stump closure in complicated appendicitis? Sample article: Kilavuz H, Demir M, Topal U. Closure of the Appendiceal Stump in Laparoscopic Appendectomy: A Comparison of Approaches to Complicated Appendicitis. IranRedCrescentMedJ. 2022 March; 24(3):e1686 doi: 10.32592/ircmj.2022.24.3.1686</p> <p>Figure :Figure descriptions should be detailed. For example, the appendix diameter measurement can be given in the intraoperative figure.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Normally, the stump of the appendix is not very wide and can be easily closed during surgery. However, in rare cases, as in this case, the diameter of the appendix can be very wide due to different etiologies. This case, which describes a successful surgical process performed on such a patient, will certainly contribute to the literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Reference writing styles should be standard. The 12th reference writing should be checked. Since most references are case reports and the rarity of the case, it is natural for there to be many references other than recent. Recommended recent reference: (Kilavuz H, Demir M, Topal U. Closure of the Appendiceal Stump in Laparoscopic Appendectomy: A Comparison of Approaches to Complicated Appendicitis. IranRedCrescentMedJ. 2022 March; 24(3):e1686 doi: 10.32592/ircmj.2022.24.3.1686)	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very clear and understandable.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hüseyin Kılavuz
Department, University & Country	University of Health Sciences, Hamidiye Faculty of Medicine, Turkiye