

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125987
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by players in branded rice market
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concern manuscript discussed about the current problems facing by formers. The survey has been done for 8 Months only that means two crafts. (Suggested take one year) Mention Objectives of the Study Selection of Sample will be accepted. Conclusions are okay Mention the suggestions separately.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Article Title suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rewrite the abstract in 200 Words and also mention one or two suggestions in Abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Manuscript Structure is Okay No need of Mention heading numbers it showing Text book or Thesis documentation.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is No need of Scientific Correctness to this manuscript. Manuscript used Mean Score, Ranking Statistical methods for data analysis	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are accepted.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language suitable to Scholarly Communication.	
Optional/General comments	Overall the manuscript is good as per the researchers analysis and discussed the current constraints facing by players in Kerala. Suggested to do by candidate as per the journal guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharath Samishetti
Department, University & Country	Balaji Institute of Management Sciences, India