

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125845
Title of the Manuscript:	The Present Status and Future Prospects of the Coconut Cultivation Towards the Export Industry - A Study Based on The Coconut Triangle in Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript would contribute to the bank of knowledge that will help formulate policy, projects or programs for the improvement of the coconut industry in Sri Lanka. However, the results are not well presented and not profoundly discussed. Suggestions were reflected as comments in the paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are statements/paragraphs in the introduction that needs to be rearranged and restructured. The results and discussion and conclusion need revision based on the comments made.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In your problem statement in the introduction, you mentioned identifying the opportunities and challenges of the coconut industry, which are crucial factors for sustainability. However, it was not well elaborated in your paper. I suggest that you categorized the statements in the coconut growers attitude portion into two categories as opportunities and challenges, then provide profound discussion concerning sustainability. Your conclusion should provide answer to your research question.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aladin P. Repaso
Department, University & Country	Philippine Fiber Industry Development Authority, Philippines