

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_125965</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Process Optimization For Development Of Edible Plates Using By-Products Of Rice And Dhal Processing Industries</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very interesting and very important because it provides information about the use of agricultural by-product ingredients to be used as edible plates and is very supportive of maintaining environmental health. It is hoped that this information will have an impact on reducing the use of plastic plates, which are not environmentally friendly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is in accordance with the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This article has reported quite complete data, but the data is added to previous research regarding the use of RBF, PBF, and WF as edible plates. It is recommended that the abstract include the objectives of this research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Already appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically, this manuscript can be accepted scientifically because it provides scientific information, especially regarding the use of RBF, PBF, and WF for making edible plates, so that the right composition is obtained in making edible plate products.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The references are adequate and up to date, but there are 2 authors who have not been included in the references; please include both authors in the references.</li> <li>Author names that are not in the article should be deleted.</li> </ol>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Already appropriate	
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Dharmawati
Department, University & Country	Islamic University of Kalimantan, Indonesia