

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125965
Title of the Manuscript:	PROCESS OPTIMIZATION FOR DEVELOPMENT OF EDIBLE PLATES USING BY-PRODUCTS OF RICE AND DHAL PROCESSING INDUSTRIES
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Didn't found the work much valuable for the scientific community as similar work already has been done. Sentence formations are not appropriate (like missing coma and other symbols). Grammatical mistakes are also there in the manuscript. Shelf life of the plates are missing Proper storage condition of the plates should be mentioned	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Absence of images/pictures of the manufacturing process and final product	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are incomplete (missing data)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English can be improved (sentence formation, grammar, use of symbols)	
Optional/General comments	Revision is mandatory	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amrish Chnadra
Department, University & Country	Sharda University, India