

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>                                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_SAJSSSE_124471   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The impact of social responsibility on the financial performance of the Asian industrial sectors</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| Compulsory REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Importance of the Manuscript:</b><br/>This manuscript addresses a critical area of research that links social responsibility and financial performance, particularly in the context of the Asian industrial sectors. The relevance of this study is underscored by the increasing focus on corporate social responsibility (CSR) in business strategies. The findings could provide valuable insights for policymakers and industry leaders aiming to enhance sustainable practices. I appreciate the author's effort to contribute to this growing body of literature.</li> <li>2. <b>Title Suitability:</b><br/>The title accurately reflects the content of the manuscript; however, it could be made more specific. A suggested alternative title could be: "Evaluating the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Financial Performance in Asian Industries."</li> <li>3. <b>Abstract Comprehensiveness:</b><br/>The abstract provides a general overview of the study's aims and findings. However, I recommend including specific key results and a brief mention of the methodology used. This would enhance clarity and attract more readers.</li> <li>4. <b>Subsections and Structure Appropriateness:</b><br/>The manuscript's structure is generally well-organized, with clear subsections that guide the reader. However, some sections could benefit from more defined headings to improve navigation and comprehension.</li> <li>5. <b>Scientific Correctness:</b><br/>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its comprehensive literature review and methodical approach to data analysis. The author effectively employs relevant frameworks and provides adequate justification for the research questions posed. Overall, the methodology appears sound, and the conclusions drawn are supported by the data presented.</li> <li>6. <b>References Sufficiency and Recency:</b><br/>The references cited are generally sufficient; however, I recommend including more recent studies from the last five years to strengthen the manuscript's relevance. Additionally, incorporating empirical studies from diverse regions could enhance the generalizability of the findings.</li> </ol> <p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Language/English Quality:</b><br/>The language used in the manuscript is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are some grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that should be revised for clarity and professionalism.</li> </ol> <p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider including a section that discusses potential limitations of the study and suggestions for future research. This would provide a more comprehensive view of the research landscape and highlight areas needing further exploration.</li> </ul> |   |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>   |   |   |

**Review Form 3**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   |  |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  |  |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. |  |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   |  |  |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  |  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   |  |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name:                            | Olaniyan Niyi Oladipo       |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University, Nigeria |