

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125101
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTENT OF ADOPTION AND CONSTRAINTS PERCEIVED BY THE BENEFICIARIES IN ADOPTION OF NATIONAL FOOD SECURITY MISSION (NFSM) ON PULSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is somehow good for the scientific community in terms of concept and methodology. But, it was not neatly written and documented with way forwarding strategies	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title: Beneficiaries perception and the level of use of NATIONAL FOOD SECURITY MISSION (NFSM) ON PULSES: challenges and prospects for food security	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Except its shallowness in analysis and writing the results, it was good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Somehow sufficient and recent. But the citation style is not smart enough	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	With some modification and correction, it was suitable as junior authors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dejene Mamo
Department, University & Country	Debre Birhan Agricultural Research Center, Amhara Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia