

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126298
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE PHYSIO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF IRON FILLINGS AND MILD STEEL CHIPS IN REINFORCED PARTICLEBOARD
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study compared the physio-mechanical characteristics of mild steel chips and iron fillings on sawdust-produced particleboard to regular particleboard made from sawdust alone, using identical production conditions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	MOR, MOE full form required in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experimental data are discussed well. • Compared with similar results. • Factors influencing the methods are being discussed. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Nil	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the nomenclature. • Avoid clubbing of literature papers. Better discuss the individual contribution of each paper. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushanth H Gowda
Department, University & Country	Visvesvaraya Technological University, India