

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126298
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study Of The Physio-Mechanical Properties Of Iron Fillings And Mild Steel Chips In Reinforced Particleboard
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-written manuscript of scientific progress by disseminating new knowledge. It is providing a detailed account of research methods, findings, and interpretations, allowing other scientists to evaluate, replicate, and build upon the work. Additionally, manuscripts contribute to the broader scientific discourse, shaping our understanding of the world and informing evidence-based decision-making.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript, establishes a proper research methodology, the clarity and reproducibility of experimental design is up to the mark, the appropriate use of statistical analyses, and the logical interpretation of results are there. This is a scientifically robust manuscript, presenting present a clear research question, providing a comprehensive literature review, and employing validated methods, and offering well-supported conclusions. Additionally, the manuscript is transparent about any limitations and acknowledge potential sources of error.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Almost, but some more reference can be added.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitin Girdhar Shinde
Department, University & Country	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University, India