

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125858
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Study on the Efficiency of Tractor-Based Seed Drills in Ragi Farming</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study aims to evaluate the efficiency of using a tractor-drawn seed drill and its impact on the efficiency of finger millet cultivation. It then compares the conventional method with the method under study, highlighting the importance of agricultural mechanization in increasing millet crop productivity.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title needs to be revised for greater linguistic accuracy. To become, <b>Comparative Study on the Efficiency of Tractor-Operated Seed Drills in Ragi Cultivation</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract explains the problem and the importance of the study but does not mention the factors under investigation and their levels, which affect the efficiency of the proposed machine for millet planting.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	No, there are many aspects that the researcher has overlooked, making it difficult to judge the manuscript as a scientific paper.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	In scientific research, there must be a set of defined study factors that the researcher identifies, along with their various levels, and the impact of these factors on specific measurements that reflect the final results. The accuracy of these results can be assessed by conducting the appropriate statistical analysis to ensure the reliability of the findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	May be, but it is preferable that the references be recent, from at least the past five years prior to the year of publication.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Materials and methods section is good, but the results section has been completely omitted, and the summary and conclusions presented directly. A scientific paper must include a dedicated results section with illustrative graphs of the key findings, as well as tables where applicable.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Osama Fouda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>