

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125451
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of genotypes against water stress and salinity in Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the scenario of changing climate, it is mandatory to identify genotypes that can tolerate multiple stresses at a time. This study identified 4 rice genotypes tolerant to both water stress as well as salinity. Author considers these 4 genotypes as physiological donors for future breeding programmes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate but should focus on indenting.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For tropical countries, abiotic stresses are a major issue for breeding programs. If we focus through the lens of climate change, this manuscript will help the rice-growing people select the right genotypes. This manuscript suggests 4 genotypes as superior whereas 24 result in poor performance in stress. This kind of screening would be scientifically robust if its aftermath were considered seriously i.e. further breeding programs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient. But, the author could use more recent references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of this article is easy to understand. For general readers this paper is readable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some spelling should be revised carefully. For example, NaCl was replaced by Nacl. And so on..	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumon Chandra Shell
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh