

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126091
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Confirmation for Gall Midge (Biotype 3) Resistance in Phenotypically Resistant Rice Genotypes using Functional Markers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important for the scientific community. Studied genotype resistance evaluation for rice gall midge is the current need of concerning area. Research findings will be valuable for effective insect pest management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It is appropriate to the study topic.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. It is appropriate for the research findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Subsections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is following scientific style of writing for research findings. Genotype resistance evaluation is the current need for effective insect pest management.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and supporting for research findings. <b>But, reference no. 27 must have to mention name of institution after M.Sc.(Ag) thesis awarded.</b>	
Minor REVISION comments	<b>Not yet</b>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality of the article may be improved as suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gyan Prakash Morya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vital Biotech Research &amp; Training Institute, India</b>