

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125216
Title of the Manuscript:	Tomato Processing Industry, Post-harvest technology and value addition: Global and Indian prospective- A Scoping Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The document provides valuable perspectives on the tomato processing industry, with a focus on both global and Indian viewpoints. It showcases India's capabilities in this industry and tackles issues like lacking processing infrastructure and losses after harvesting. This review provides valuable insights for researchers, industry stakeholders, and policymakers on improving the tomato processing sector in India. Keep the title as it accurately represents the extent of the subject.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative and gives a concise summary of the content of the manuscript, highlighting the importance of the tomato processing industry in India. Adding a brief mention of key recommendations or future prospects could enhance it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The document is nicely arranged, with suitable sections that cover different parts of tomato processing such as worldwide production trends, obstacles faced by the industry, and future opportunities.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents scientifically accurate information, backed by relevant data on tomato production and processing statistics, both globally and within India. The discussion on lycopene content and its health benefits is particularly noteworthy. The references are sufficient, although ensuring the inclusion of the most recent studies, particularly from the past five years, would enhance the manuscript's relevance. Update a few references with the most recent studies (e.g., 2020 onwards) to reflect current trends and advancements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate but somewhat outdated. Adding recent studies, particularly on technological advancements, updated market trends, and the health benefits of lycopene in processed products, would enhance the manuscript's relevance and scientific value.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are some minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that need revision. A thorough proofreading is recommended to correct these issues and improve clarity and readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yashwant Kumar Patel
Department, University & Country	Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya, India