

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125032
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Nutrient spray and Pruning on Growth, Yield and Quality of Bitter gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Modern nutrient management strategy has shifted its focus towards the concept of sustainability and eco friendliness. Intensive use of only chemical fertilizers to achieve high production has created a various problem. Supplementation of nutrient throw foliar spray to majority application of nutrient and improving plant health may be use full for nutrient management practices. Pruning has an effect on the function of plant as it influences bearing or fruiting of plant. By pruning the flow of sap is drive or direct towards fruiting area on plant and the plant or vine are forced to bear better quality of fruits. Removing extra vegetation improves light penetration and also enhances the aeration which thus removes or reduces the disease and pest attack. Hence, the present study more useful vegetable growers for enhancing the yield and quality in Bitter gourd.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is suitable with the content mentioned in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive – No changes are required.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate - No changes are required.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is Scientifically robust and technically sound because the research work was highly suitable for the vegetable growers. Pruning along with foliar application of nutrients can be easily adopted by the farmers for improving the yield and quality.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient - No changes are required.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Research work is good and can be recommended for publication</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Vinaya Kumar Reddy
Department, University & Country	Dr. YSR Horticultural University, India