

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_126151
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuroscientific Approaches to Understanding Adolescent Susceptibility to Substance Abuse.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this article to scientific community is that adolescent substance abuse is a major health concern which predisposes these adolescents to risk of lifelong addiction, mental health issues and social problems. I like the manuscript because it helps shed light on ways of breaking cycles of addiction, ways of curbing this menace among the youths thereby proffering solutions and the knowledge of neuroscientific factors can inform evidence-based prevention strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is can be modified to "Neurobiological Factors contributing to Adolescent Vulnerability to substance abuse".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive although I indicated with a red colour an aspect that needs deletion due to its non-relevance to the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because there are strong empirical where decades of research have established solid foundation for understanding adolescent brain.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are enough	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, very suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author needs to make some corrections indicated in the manuscript. generally, the manuscript was well written and information effectively communicated	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chekwube Nkemjika Ifeadi
Department, University & Country	Nigeria