

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_125153 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | STUDY ON VIABILITY OF FUNGAL BIOAGENTS IN VACUUM PACKING COMPARED WITH CONVENTIONAL PACKING |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article generally presents an interesting study of fungal bioagents in different environments. It is important to highlight the use of Biological Control instead of using chemical agents that are harmful to the environment. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | No, I propose STUDY ON VIABILITY OF FUNGAL BIOAGENTS IN VACUUM PACKING COMPARED WITH CONVENTIONAL PACKING IN DIFFERENT STORAGE CONDITIONS | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript generally presents good scientific language and interesting results. The researcher discussed the results well. I thought the introduction failed to mention vacuum packaging. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | In general yes, but I think I could try some more recent references, especially in the discussion. | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | Nothing to comment | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Carlos Frederico Marques Guimaraes |
| Department, University & Country | Fluminense Federal University, Brazil |