

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125108
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Effects of Climate Change on Human Security: Case of Nyahururu Sub-County, Laikipia County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focus on Climate change impact which poses a significant threat to human security by exacerbating societal challenges. The effects of climate change on human security are particularly concerning, impacting livelihoods, resource availability, and community resilience by assessing the effects of climate change on human security through survey in Nyahururu Sub-County.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but minor word modification suggested as implied in the main document "Assessing the Effects of Climate Change on Human Security: Case of Nyahururu Sub-County, Laikipia County, Kenya"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The format by the author needs polishing or little modification. The abstract suggested to be written in one paragraph and no need of writing Aim, methods, result, and conclusion as commented in track change form to ease the review by Author	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript tries to include the main parts/ sections and sub sections sufficient for the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Authors tried to write clearly to inform the readers even if some editorial work of formats and language be polished before the final publications. The methodology is survey which is commonly employed by other scholars too and acceptable, the results and discussions and finally draw conclusion with recommendations suggested	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but old references also used	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well but needs editorial works , the reviewer comments are in track change form attached	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The comments to be addressed are mentioned in the main documents and the authors has to address the comments	

Comment [D31]: Topic is well but better if possible modify as «Assessing the effects of Climate change.....».

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tesfaye Dessu Geleta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Meteorology Institute, Ethiopia</b>