

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_125158
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Uropathogens isolated from refugees with urinary tract infections in Nakivale refugee settlement camp, South-western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In general, studying infectious diseases, including urinary tract infections, especially among communities suffering from many health problems, is very correct. The importance of the research lies in conducting a study on the spread of bacteria causing urinary tract infections (their types and resistance to antibiotics). The researcher was not very successful in reporting the results and discussion. The language of the research was weak. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have suggested some points within abstract (please see)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections of the manuscript are not consistent, written in a long way, and need to be reviewed in order to shorten them and merge some of them.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contained good scientific information, but the tables included some mistakes. The author was provided with some suggestions for the purpose of improving the tables (please see the attached revised file)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language was poor, a lot of suggestions was provided with revised manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saad M. Hantoosh
Department, University & Country	Open Educational College, Iraq