

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_125158
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Uropathogens isolated from refugees with urinary tract infections in Nakivale refugee settlement camp, South-western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is the present context types of research. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good as per the research	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Highlighted part to be revisit and addressed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In data management mention the pie charts and graphs but not mentions anywhere.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Result: Prevalence as mentions in the article was not consistence to written in the article Justify the ethopia prevalence is 0%. Only one sample was in the study. Fig. 1 was not seen in the text for the appropriate justification of result.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference as per the article is likely to be sufficient Same types of research will be better to review Reference to be checked properly because it was not in the format	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NA	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bishnu Raj Tiwari
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal