

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_126034
Title of the Manuscript:	Interval Analysis of Volatile Solid and Biochemical Oxygen Demand in Batch Anaerobic Fermentation of Selected Agrowaste
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The result of this manuscript is important to optimizing the performance of anaerobic digesters for sustainable agrowaste management. In addition, the research is concise and easy to comprehend.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough, capturing the significant findings of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Considering the facilities and equipment used for the research and the quality of data collection and results obtained, the manuscript is scientifically robust. Also, the result of the result is comparable with literature and state-of-the-art in anaerobic digestion of biodegradable agrowaste.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and relevant to the scope of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The Authors may however engage the services of professional editors to reach a wider audience.	
Optional/General comments	Section 2.2: State the concentration of sodium hydroxide employed for sample treatment. Section 2.3.1: Align equation 1 and delete (Where) in describing the parameters of the equation. Table 2: State the exact date; (mid) and (end) periods of measuring VS and BOD. Section 4: Delete the citation or move it to the introduction section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olajide Ipindola
Department, University & Country	Morgan State University, United States of America