

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_125491
Title of the Manuscript:	ON SOME TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF $C_h(X)$ AND OF THE DUAL OPERATOR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to study topological properties of hypo-topology and with the linear operators on them. Hence it is important for the scientific community. This manuscript give hypo-topology and its dual operator in a good manner, therefore I like this manuscript	This content is clear and explanatory.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript deals fundamental properties of hypo-topology, and with the linear operators on them and as well as the characterization of the topological properties of $C_h(X)$. Also this manuscript focus on clarity and precision in writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Given References are enough to study	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the article is well written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Uthaya Sankar
Department, University & Country	Manonmaniam Sundaranar University College, India