

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_126045
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING DISPARITIES AND HEALTH OUTCOMES AMONG CANCER PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The present manuscript focuses on disparities and health outcomes among cancer patients. This is a very significant topic to work on nowadays. Early diagnosis and treatment are crucial for cancer patients. The authors identified the need for this work and executed the research in well manner. Their effort will help the research community understand the factors causing disparity and its health outcomes among cancer patients (specifically in Nigeria).</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The authors mentioned the semi-structured questionnaire, but the procedure indicates that you applied the structured survey questionnaire. The abstract contains a result section that needs to be rewritten. For example, (mostly within the age range of 62 and above (56.7) participated in this study.) This is not a complete sentence; maybe you want to write (56.7%) or so. Similarly, authors have mentioned that there was a correlation between the different disparities and health outcomes. Some associations were negative, while some were positive at P=0.05 and 0.01. here, the authors should mention the names of the variables and their correlation with the r-value. The result section does not support your claim that patients were somewhat satisfied (50.0%) with the overall health outcomes.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The authors followed the guidelines of scientific research. They selected data-collection methods and tools appropriately. They were also vetted by the ethical review board and followed scientific writing.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There is a need to check for grammar and typing errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>References need to follow the journal's or APA's proposed guidelines. The result section can be improved in terms of explaining the result tables.</p> <p><i>Approved by the UNTH Health Research Ethics Committee of the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Enugu.</i></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shreshtha Yadav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banaras Hindu University, India</b>