

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125708
Title of the Manuscript:	A PROSPECTIVE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF BASKA MASK Vs I-GEL IN SHORT ELECTIVE SURGERIES UNDER GENERAL ANAESTHESIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study question dealt with is important as to understand the efficacy and ease of using newer SAD. RCT makes it authentic. This will also benefit in promoting ERAS for short duration surgeries, considering efficient insertion and lesser post extubation complications	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Should include the words RCT as it is mentioned in materials and methods that it is a randomised double blind study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	adequate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	adequate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) laproscopic surgeries are included, intraperitoneal pressures should be monitored, maximum allowed mention is must 2) introduction has two references of studies which essentially should be part of discussion 3) post extubation morbidity monitored but not haemodynamics why? 4) Better to mention as baseline reading for haemodynamic monitoring instead of 0 minutes 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	adequate	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Repetitions to be avoided Rephrasing will help in many instances	
Optional/General comments	Adequate content	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amruta Datye
Department, University & Country	Sevasadan Lifeline Superspeciality Hospital, India