

**Review Form 3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofFoodResearchandNutrition</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJFRN_125794
TitleoftheManuscript:	To study sensory quality and production cost of Khoa Modak blended with Jaggery and Pumpkin seeds
TypeoftheArticle	

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript will provide a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners in the field of functional foods, Khoa Modak blended with Jaggery and Pumpkin seeds but significant revisions are required. The abstract lacks proper structure, and the methodology needs more detail on p-value is less than 0.05 but you are also all place in mansion less the 0.01 Results table presentation should not be clearer, with the inclusion of visual aids, and the statistical methods must be better explained.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract don't mention college name & referencing accordingly to journal.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add on Acknowledgement, conflict of interest & future prospects	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Add on few keywords, factorial value in n is missing and could not show the mean deviation. Material and methods in add the material name. Significant P value < 0.05% not to be < 0.01%. Proper not mention in all table title, n value, Sign, ss, cd, of full form.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

**Review Form 3**

<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Grammatically in percent is a single word use or Sign of % use but you also the use of percent. Require more attentions (Rebuttal report is submitted)</b></p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the Abstract section mentioning the college name is not required.</li> <li>2. Only 3 keywords are mentioned please add up to 5-7.</li> <li>3. In the section of introduction see the 5<sup>th</sup> line where as the Commercial value of the constituent are written it should be better to show the exact value.</li> <li>4. Under the heading of Sensory evaluation after the end of the sentence "Sensory evaluation shall be carried out by adopting 9 points Hedonic scale method as per IS:6273, Part-I (1971)" cite the reference at end.</li> <li>5. The author has mentioned the cost of production 2023, it is better to add the correct value.</li> <li>6. The author has written "per cent" to showing the symbol of (%). It is my suggestion to add % sign and should be written the complete spelling percent instead of previous as mentioned. This correction is required in whole manuscript.</li> <li>7. Under the section of introduction the author has given the example of Hindu festivals kindly omit the festival name only scientific example would be appreciating.</li> <li>8. Formatting is recommended if author is not familiar with MS office take a help from expert. The author proper space line not cleared on result accordingly this manuscript or review paper guideline.</li> <li>9. In the section of result and discussion again the author added the Bhutkar et al. (2015) twice. In the section of result and discussion cannot be allowed.</li> <li>10. <b>This comment is applicable for all tables:</b> value of N is missing, Unable to understand the short form which is mentioned as a heading of each table, standard deviation of each data is missing and lastly the title of various tables are also missing.</li> <li>11. Usually ANOVA shows p value is &lt;0.05% but the author written various time the value of P &lt; 0.01%. Kindly give the supportive documents and the literature to support</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

	<p>thestatementaddedintheirmanuscript.</p> <p>13. Usually I have seen in other journal the section of Acknowledgement, announcement of conflict of interest and future prospects which is missing in this manuscript. I suggest to submit the complete manuscript after adding these points.</p> <p>14. Please add Graph of in support of your all table data which gives you a good writer who increases the citation after viewing your article.</p>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gyanendra Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Motherhood University, India</b>